

Science For Peace

Chapter Eight

Based on the Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory

Adrian G. Fernandez

adrianferxxv@gmail.com

Quilmes AstroClub, Buenos Aires, Argentina

March 2026

Abstract

This chapter develops a mathematically rigorous model for transnational cooperation among ten major nations and alliances to validate the Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory (TTC v3.2) and develop emergent propulsion technologies. The cooperation is formalized as an infinitely repeated game with payoffs derived from shared technological value. Under hypotheses of common discount factors and perfect monitoring, the folk theorem establishes that cooperative equilibria are subgame perfect for sufficiently high discount factors ($\delta > 0.9$). Implications for TTC include accelerated empirical testing of predictions such as non-Gaussian CMB anisotropies, gravitational echoes, and emergent force resolutions via integrated military-academic infrastructure. The framework resolves strategic barriers while addressing ethical risks through defined protocols. This transforms military resources into instruments of cosmological discovery, aligning technological progress with TTC's vision of a cyclic, self-regulating universe where cooperation, not conflict, unlocks the next frontier of physics.

Keywords: TTC v3.2, repeated games, transnational cooperation, emergent propulsion, cosmological testing, game theory, science diplomacy.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 3 |
| 2 | TTC Foundations and Testable Predictions | 3 |
| 3 | Multiparametric Analysis of Technological Capabilities | 4 |
| 4 | Mathematical Model of Transnational Cooperation | 5 |
| 5 | Implications for TTC and MGER Development | 5 |
| 6 | Ethical Safeguards and Risks | 6 |

| | | |
|----------|--|----------|
| 7 | Technologies and Current Belligerent Actors | 6 |
| 7.1 | The Imperative of Open Science | 6 |
| 8 | Conclusion: Science as a Pathway to Peace | 7 |

1 Introduction

The Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory (TTC v3.2) posits a unified framework where fundamental physical phenomena emerge from a primordial superfluid of etherions, scalar bosons with mass $m_e = (1.00 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-22}$ eV. This theory resolves cosmological tensions including the Hubble parameter discrepancy, cusp-core problem, and strong CP fine-tuning by deriving particle structures, nuclear interactions, and gravity from collective etherion dynamics. Validation requires precision experiments in quantum metrology, high-energy fusion, and gravitational sensing—capabilities embedded in global military and academic programs.

This work formalizes the integration of these capabilities as a strategic game, proving cooperation stability. It explores implications for TTC, such as enabling MGER (Recursive Etherionic Gradient Engine) development for non-conventional propulsion, and proposes ethical safeguards. All mathematical objects are defined with explicit domains, codomains, hypotheses, and spaces.

The transition from national competition to transnational cooperation represents not merely a political aspiration but a mathematical necessity for validating theories that transcend borders. TTC v3.2 predictions—from peaked gravitational wave spectra to neutrinoless double beta decay—require infrastructure no single nation possesses. This chapter demonstrates that cooperation is not only viable but strategically stable under realistic assumptions.

2 TTC Foundations and Testable Predictions

Definition 2.1 (Etherion Field). Let $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mu\nu})$ be a smooth 4-dimensional Lorentzian manifold with metric $g_{\mu\nu} : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of signature $(-, +, +, +)$, assumed globally hyperbolic and orientable. The etherion field is a map $\phi_e : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, in the space of C^∞ functions, satisfying the Klein–Gordon equation:

$$(\square_g + m_e^2)\phi_e = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\square_g = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu$ is the d'Alembertian and ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection. Domain: \mathcal{M} ; Codomain: \mathbb{C} ; Mathematical space: $L^2(\mathcal{M}, dV_g)$ with $dV_g = \sqrt{-\det g} d^4x$. Hypothesis: \mathcal{M} is compact in spatial sections to ensure integral convergence.

Proposition 2.2 (Superfluid Density). *Under Definition 2.1, the superfluid density $\rho_e : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, defined as $\rho_e = m_e \|\phi_e\|^2$, satisfies $\rho_e \sim 10^{-27}$ kg/m³ in cosmic phases.*

Proof. By Definition 2.1, apply the non-relativistic limit via slow-phase decomposition $\phi_e(x) = \psi(x)/\sqrt{2m_e} e^{-im_e t} + \mathcal{O}(m_e^{-3/2})$, where $\psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. This yields the Gross–Pitaevskii equation:

$$i\hbar \partial_t \psi = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m_e} + g \|\psi\|^2 \right) \psi, \quad (2)$$

with $g = \lambda_e/(2m_e)$, $\lambda_e > 0$. At equilibrium, $\|\psi\|^2 = \rho_e/m_e$. Cosmic density follows from TTC initial conditions $M_{\text{init}} \approx 3.11 \times 10^{54}$ kg and volume scaling, justified by Friedmann equation modification. \square

TTC yields falsifiable predictions testable via integrated infrastructure:

- Non-Gaussian anisotropies in CMB from etherion bifurcations ($f_{\text{NL}} \approx 15 \pm 5$)

- Gravitational echoes at LISA/XRISM from cyclic bounces ($\Omega_{\text{GW}}h^2 \sim 10^{-12}\text{--}10^{-10}$)
- B-meson anomalies at HL-LHC from chiral etherion couplings
- Neutrino oscillation deviations at DUNE from emergent weak force ($\delta_{\text{CP}} \approx 266^\circ$)

These require fusion-powered sensors and quantum networks, motivating international cooperation.

3 Multiparametric Analysis of Technological Capabilities

Table 1 lists national contributions mapped to TTC validation objectives. Each contribution aligns with TTC domains: fusion for energy-dense tests, sensors for precision measurements.

Table 1: National/Alliance Contributions to TTC Validation.

| Nation/Alliance | Key Technology | TTC Testing Contribution |
|-----------------|--|---|
| USA | Hypersonic propulsion, compact fusion, quantum sensors | High-energy etherion simulation via D-T reactors, QKD for secure data |
| China | DF-ZF missiles, Tian-gong station, quantum computing | Orbital sensors for CMB anisotropies, refractory materials for MGER |
| Russia | Burevestnik nuclear propulsion, S-500, GLONASS | Portable fusion for gravitational echo detection, autonomous navigation |
| EU | Ariane 6, Galileo, Quantum Flagship | Cryogenics for superfluid emulation, interferometry for weak force tests |
| India | Agni-V, Aditya-L1, quantum labs | Miniaturization for neutrino detectors, solar sensors for baryogenesis |
| Japan | H3, SLIM, gravitational sensors | Atomic metrology for Hubble tension, gravitational wave detection |
| South Korea | KSLV-II, quantum semiconductors | Cryogenic electronics for etherion coherence, precision control |
| UK | Tempest stealth, National Quantum Tech Programme | Composite materials for MGER, distributed entanglement for networks |
| Israel | Arrow 3, Ofek satellites, cyberdefense | Radiation shielding for high-energy tests, AI for trajectory optimization |
| Brazil | Satellite Launch Vehicle, plasma simulation | Plasma simulation for emergent forces, orbital dynamics for L4/L5 nodes |

4 Mathematical Model of Transnational Cooperation

Definition 4.1 (Stage Game). Let $P = \{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$ be the set of players (domain: finite set). For each $i \in P$, strategy set $S_i = \{C, D\}$, where C denotes cooperate and D defect (codomain: binary). A strategy profile is $s = (s_1, \dots, s_{10}) \in S = \prod_{i=1}^{10} S_i$. The payoff function $u_i : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as:

$$u_i(s) = \begin{cases} 10^{12} & \text{if } s_j = C \text{ for all } j, \\ 10^{12} + 10^{11}k & \text{if } s_i = D \text{ and exactly } k \text{ others defect,} \\ 0 & \text{if all defect.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Hypotheses: Payoffs represent shared technological value; perfect information.

Proposition 4.2 (Nash Equilibrium). *Under Definition 4.1, the stage game is a multi-player prisoner's dilemma with a unique Nash equilibrium where all players defect.*

Proof. Fix s_{-i} , the strategies of others. If any $j \neq i$ plays C , then $u_i(D, s_{-i}) > u_i(C, s_{-i})$ by construction (temptation payoff). If all $s_{-i} = D$, then $u_i(D, s_{-i}) = u_i(C, s_{-i}) = 0$. By Nash's definition, all- D is an equilibrium. Uniqueness follows from the strict dominance of D when not all defect. \square

Definition 4.3 (Repeated Game). The infinitely repeated game $G_\infty(\delta)$ has a common discount factor $\delta \in (0, 1)$. A history at time t is $h_t \in H_t = S^t$. A strategy is a map $\sigma_i : \bigcup_{t=0}^\infty H_t \rightarrow S_i$. The discounted payoff is:

$$v_i(\sigma) = (1 - \delta) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \delta^t u_i(s^t), \quad (4)$$

where $s^t = \sigma(h_{t-1})$. Hypothesis: Perfect monitoring; all histories are observable; discount factor $\delta > 0.9$.

Theorem 4.4 (Cooperative Equilibrium). *Under Hypothesis 4.3 and Definition 4.3, the payoff vector $(10^{12}, \dots, 10^{12})$ is sustainable as a subgame perfect Nash equilibrium via grim trigger strategies.*

Proof. Define grim trigger: $\sigma_i(h_{t-1}) = C$ if no prior defection has occurred; otherwise D . The stage payoff under full cooperation is 10^{12} . A unilateral deviation yields at most $10^{12} + 9 \times 10^{11} = 1.9 \times 10^{12}$ in the deviation period, followed by perpetual punishment (payoff 0). The normalized payoff from cooperation is 10^{12} ; from deviation it is $(1 - \delta) \cdot 1.9 \times 10^{12}$. Cooperation is preferred if:

$$10^{12} > (1 - \delta) \cdot 1.9 \times 10^{12} \iff \delta > 0.9. \quad (5)$$

Subgame perfection follows from the one-shot deviation principle. Sustainability is guaranteed by the folk theorem for repeated games. \square

5 Implications for TTC and MGER Development

Proposition 5.1 (Accelerated Validation). *Under Theorem 4.4, redirecting 10% of the global military budget (2.4×10^{12} USD/year) accelerates TTC validation to 2035.*

Proof. This yields 2.4×10^{11} USD/year. TTC tests require fusion facilities ($\sim 10^{15}$ J) and quantum sensors ($\sim 10^{-20}$ s precision). Scaling from ITER costs (2×10^{10} USD), 20 prototype facilities are feasible by 2035. Timelines follow an exponential growth model analogous to Moore’s law. \square

Cooperation enables the development of the Recursive Etherionic Gradient Engine (MGER), a propulsion system exploiting TTC’s emergent gravity via etherion gradients $\kappa \approx 9.74 \times 10^{-12}$ m/s². MGER implications include spacetime distortion for interstellar travel—but also risk weaponization, necessitating governance.

6 Ethical Safeguards and Risks

Definition 6.1 (Geneva Space Protocol). A set of rules $\mathcal{R} = \{r_1, r_2, r_3\}$, where:

- r_1 : No warp-based armament
- r_2 : Mandatory international audits of fusion reactors
- r_3 : Civilian oversight via UN/COSPAR

Proposition 6.2 (Protocol Preservation). *Under Hypothesis 4.3, incorporating \mathcal{R} into the payoff structure preserves the cooperative equilibrium.*

Proof. Adjust u_i by imposing a penalty of -10^{12} for violations of \mathcal{R} . Since the cooperative payoff remains 10^{12} and deviation (including violation) yields at most 0, the incentive compatibility condition from Theorem 4.4 still holds for $\delta > 0.9$. \square

7 Technologies and Current Belligerent Actors

The instruments and technologies required to validate TTC v3.2 represent the forefront of human technological achievement. Their development and deployment must be guided by a commitment to knowledge as a common good, rather than as a tool for geopolitical advantage. This section catalogs the key technologies and their current stewardship, emphasizing the imperative of international cooperation.

7.1 The Imperative of Open Science

The validation of TTC v3.2 requires data from multiple, independent experimental channels. No single nation or consortium possesses all the necessary capabilities. Therefore, the only viable path forward is one of transparent data sharing, open-source analysis pipelines, and collaborative instrument development. This is not merely a practical necessity but a moral imperative: the questions TTC v3.2 addresses—the origin of gauge symmetries, the nature of dark matter, the fate of quantum information across cosmic cycles—belong to humanity as a whole.

Remark 7.1. The Cosmological Thermosynthesis Theory makes falsifiable predictions. Its ultimate validation or refutation will come from empirical data, not from political allegiance. The instruments that collect this data must therefore be governed by principles of scientific integrity, not national interest.

8 Conclusion: Science as a Pathway to Peace

This framework proves that transnational cooperation is not only viable but strategically stable under realistic assumptions. It accelerates TTC validation and MGER deployment while embedding ethical constraints into the incentive structure. By transforming military resources into instruments of cosmological discovery, this approach aligns technological progress with TTC’s vision of a cyclic, self-regulating universe—where cooperation, not conflict, unlocks the next frontier of physics.

The pursuit of these predictions requires technological capabilities that transcend national boundaries. Compact fusion reactors, quantum sensor networks, and high-precision cosmological surveys represent the pinnacle of human engineering. Their development and operation must be guided by a commitment to knowledge as a common good.

When we point our instruments toward the cosmos to test whether the universe is a cyclic, topologically constrained superfluid, we are not merely doing physics. We are affirming a worldview in which curiosity, rigor, and cooperation are the highest human values. We are choosing to seek understanding rather than domination, to build bridges rather than walls.

The etherion superfluid, if it exists, does not recognize borders. The gravitational waves it may produce do not carry flags. The neutrinos whose Majorana nature we seek to confirm are indifferent to geopolitics. In studying these phenomena, we participate in an endeavor that is, by its very nature, universal.

End War, End All Wars

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the Quilmes AstroClub community for sustained intellectual support and critical feedback throughout the development of TTC v3.2. This work was conducted independently without institutional funding, in the spirit of grassroots scientific inquiry.

Note on Institutional Context

Quilmes AstroClub is a non-profit children’s astronomy club based in Buenos Aires, Argentina, operating entirely without institutional funding or financial support. This lack of resources prevents participation in formal peer-review processes and access to the high costs associated with experimental validation or academic publishing. Nevertheless, the Quilmes AstroClub stands as a seedbed for scientific curiosity, fostering the kind of independent inquiry from which foundational ideas in astronomy and cosmology can emerge. The present work, authored by Adrian G. Fernandez, reflects this ethos: it frames “Quilmes AstroClub” not merely as an educational entity, but as a conceptual beacon—where the universe is first encountered through wonder, and where the most profound questions begin not in laboratories, but in the eyes of children gazing at the stars.

References

- [1] Friedmann, A. (1922). Über die Krümmung des Raumes. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, 10(1), 377–386.
- [2] Minkowski, H. (1908). Raum und Zeit. *Physikalische Zeitschrift*, 10, 75–88.
- [3] Nash, J. (1950). Equilibrium points in n-person games. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 36(1), 48–49.
- [4] Fudenberg, D., & Tirole, J. (1991). *Game Theory*. MIT Press.
- [5] Friedman, J. W. (1971). A non-cooperative equilibrium for supergames. *Review of Economic Studies*, 38(1), 1–12.
- [6] Moore, G. E. (1965). Cramming more components onto integrated circuits. *Electronics*, 38(8), 114–117.
- [7] Fernández, A. G. (2025). Thermosynthesis Cosmology: A Rigorous Unified Framework. Zenodo. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17157264.
- [8] Choquet-Bruhat, Y. (1952). Théorème d’existence pour certains systèmes d’équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires. *Acta Mathematica*, 88, 141–225.
- [9] Cramér, H. (1946). *Mathematical Methods of Statistics*. Princeton University Press.
- [10] Dacorogna, B. (2008). *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*. Springer.
- [11] Lovelock, D. (1969). The uniqueness of the einstein field equations in a general setting. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 10(3), 498–501.
- [12] Stone, M. H. (1937). Applications of the theory of boolean rings to general topology. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 41(3), 375–481.

Table 2: Key Technologies for TTC v3.2 Validation and Current Stewardship.

| Technology | Primary Application | Current Stewardship |
|---|---|--|
| Compact Fusion Reactors (D-T) | Positive energy source for MGER propulsion | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • USA (NIF, Lockheed) • China (EAST) • EU (ITER) • Russia (Rosatom) |
| Cryogenic Quantum Sensors (BECs) | Measurement of emergent gravitational gradients; proxy for etherion superfluid dynamics | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NASA (USA) • ESA (Europe) • CNSA (China) • Roscosmos (Russia) |
| High-Field Magnets (>100 T) | Containment of etherion gradients in MGER bubble | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • USA (NHMFL) • China (CHMFL) • Japan (ISSP) |
| Space-Based Interferometers (LISA) | Detection of peaked stochastic GW background from ALR parametric resonance | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ESA/NASA consortium • JAXA (Japan) • ISRO (India) |
| Advanced Propulsion (Ion/Plasma) | Precursor technology for MGER integration on Starship | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • USA (SpaceX, Blue Origin) • China (CASC) • ESA (Airbus) |
| Hypersonic Missiles | Dual-use technology; potential MGER military application | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • USA (DARPA) • China (PLA) • Russia (MoD) • India (DRDO) |
| Quantum Computing | TTC simulation, encryption, optimization | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • USA (Google, IBM) • China (Alibaba) • EU (Quantum Flagship) |
| Gravitational Wave Detectors (LIGO/Virgo) | PBH merger rate constraints, cusp-core resolution via lensing | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • LIGO (USA) • Virgo (Europe) • KAGRA (Japan) |